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UNDP and UNDCF organised in September/October 2007, an electronic forum entitled “Towards a Local governance and Development Agenda - Lessons and Challenges”. The objective of the Forum was to promote better understanding of the way to support national and local governments in their effort to improve local governance and manage decentralisation processes. The e-discussion gathered the contributions of more than a hundred UNDP offices from around the world. By defining the main obstacles encountered in the implementation of decentralisation and local governance programs, these contributions have collectively shaped the existence of common challenges.

Despite the diversity of national and regional contexts, five common challenges indeed seem to emerge from these discussions:

1 – Defining the role and strategy of donors in the decentralisation process
2 - Developing a strong national strategy and adapting it to diverse local contexts
3 - Building capacities of local actors through knowledge and information sharing
4 - Building human and financial capacities of local governance
5 - Enhancing effective participation of local populations

This mind-map synthesis proposes to develop the common reflections that resulted from the Forum. It will present the diversity of local and national responses to these common challenges.

The document was produced by the Institute for Research and Debate on Governance (IRG), thanks to a specific mind-map tool developed by the Charles Leopold Mayer Foundation and its partner Exemole.
The challenges

1 > Defining the role and strategy of donors vis-à-vis decentralisation process

2 > Developing a strong national strategy and adapt it to the diverse local contexts

3 > Building capacities of local actors through knowledge and information sharing

- Creating tools of information and evaluation for populations
- Defining the role of external expertise
- Promoting relevant training processes
- Adapting strategies to diversity of local situations
- Improving articulation between local projects and national strategies

4 > Building human and financial capacities of local governance

- Improving human capacities to manage local governance
- Improving channels for funding local governments
- Building local capacities to raise revenues
- Defining the role of external expertise
- Strengthening the capacities of local actors to participate and control public affairs
- Co-ordinating the funds, harmonizing donors strategies
- Finding the right place for international donors.
- Understanding political stakes of the decentralisation process
- Conceiving long-term strategies of support

5 > Enhancing effective participation of local populations

- Participation of Civil Society Organisations as a necessary regulatory element
- Collabroating with traditional actors in public regulation
- Enhancing the participation of women and marginalized groups
- Supporting the existence of a strong national strategy of decentralization
- Improving channels for funding local governments
- Improving articulation between local projects and national strategies
- Adapting strategies to diversity of local situations
- Understanding political stakes of the decentralisation process
- Supporting the existence of a strong national strategy of decentralization
- Co-ordinating the funds, harmonizing donors strategies
- Finding the right place for international donors.
- Understanding political stakes of the decentralisation process
- Conceiving long-term strategies of support
1 – Defining the role and strategy of donors in the decentralisation process

Conceiving long-term strategies of support

Defining the right place for donors

Need for the donor to get out of a “project approach” and adopt a more integrated perspective (Macedonia)

- External projects oriented on local level can delay real juridical and fiscal decentralisation (UNDP - Oslo Gov Center)
- Donors engaging with local communities can perpetuate the distrust syndrome of governments (Somalia)
- External donors as a support or an advocate of decentralisation? (Western Balkans)
- External funds dedicated to poorest municipalities create imbalance and encourage them to appear poorer than they are (Benin)
- External projects oriented on local level can decrease the dialogue between local and national actors (UNDP - Oslo Gov Center)
- External technical assistance often seen as a substitute for the lack of a proper policy-making process (Thailand / Nepal)
- Need for a dialogue between actors of central government and donors to push together the agenda of decentralisation (Nepal / Turkmenistan)

Defining the role and strategy of donors

- Need for a sustained commitment at all levels to guarantee progressive implementation of local governments (E and S Africa, Zambia, UNDCF NYC)
- Different understandings of decentralization among donors (focus on communities vs local governments etc.) (Nepal / Eastern and Southern Africa / UNDCF NY)
- Need for a "Community Typology" to make more effective Government and donors' support (Armenia)
- Need for an harmonisation of donors representatives attitudes (Malawi)
- Need for more coordination between donors in the building of programs (Philippines)
- Need for a mechanism of pooled funding from the different donors (West and Central Africa/ UNDCF NY)
- Need for an harmonisation of donors representatives attitudes (Malawi)
- Need for inter-unit coordination inside each donor's approach to avoid investing double resources (Russia / Afghanistan)
- Dialogue between donor should not only involve heads of agencies but also local staffs (Russia)

Co-ordinating the funds, harmonizing donor strategies

- Need for a "Community Typology" to make more effective Government and donors' support (Armenia)
- Need for the donor to get out of a “project approach” and adopt a more integrated perspective (Macedonia)
- Need for an harmonisation of donors representatives attitudes (Malawi)
- Need for more coordination between donors in the building of programs (Philippines)
- Need for a mechanism of pooled funding from the different donors (West and Central Africa/ UNDCF NY)
- Need for an harmonisation of donors representatives attitudes (Malawi)
- Need for inter-unit coordination inside each donor's approach to avoid investing double resources (Russia / Afghanistan)
- Dialogue between donor should not only involve heads of agencies but also local staffs (Russia)
1 – Defining the role and strategy of donors in the decentralisation process

The reflection concerning the strategies adopted by international donors, and especially by UNPD, concerning decentralisation programs was one of the most consensual ones among participants. On the one hand, most contributions underlined the central role of UNDP in decentralisation processes in their countries and shed light on the specific programs that have supported local governance (community participation, platforms of information, external expertise etc.) On the other hand, many also stressed the complex position of external donors vis-à-vis local and national institutions, as well as the relative lack of coherence or strategy of local governance programs.

The contributions converge around three main issues:

• Defining the right place for donors

There is a need for external donors to measure the risk at stake when external activities like technical assistance, juridical or fiscal expertise, development projects, are implemented directly at the local level. These risks are notably: Increasing the competition between municipalities to get funding, exacerbating local tensions, but also delaying the institutional capacities to assume these activities and, as a consequence, creating growing distrust among local actors towards the State.

Many contributions thus insist on the role of external donors as mediators of the necessary dialogue between local and national institutions, and as capacity builders of local actors, in order to strengthen the basis of the decentralisation process.

• Co-ordinating funds, harmonising donors strategies

One shared assessment among national and regional offices is the relative balkanisation of the funds from different donors, as a result of different visions and strategies around decentralisation programs. In order to avoid a collection of local projects working in parallel, as it is the case in many regions, there is a need for a more integrated strategy, following two orientations:

- Promoting a closer co-ordination and a closer dialogue between donors, including not only the heads of agencies but also the local staff.
- Supporting programs of pooled funding, and establishing common typologies of actors in order to improve the coherence in the allocation of resources.

In that perspective, UNDP seems to have a central role to play as co-ordinators of decentralisation programs of different donors. In order to reach that goal, the first step for UNDP is still to improve inter-unit co-ordination and ensure internal coherence of the programs inside the institution.

• Conceiving long-term strategies of support

Decentralisation being a slow process, involving the progressive change of mentalities inside central and local institutions and the progressive construction of local capacities, there is a need for a more sustained commitment of the donors in that field. Many contributions still put into question a support mainly oriented towards a “project approach” that does not match the long-term perspective of these institutional reforms.
2 - Developing a strong national strategy and adapting it to diverse local contexts

**Supporting the existence of a strong national strategy of decentralisation**

- Need for a clear national leadership of the decentralisation process, a "policy champion" (Macedonia / Armenia)
- Need for more interministerial coordination mechanisms, more links between decentralisation and other sector reform programs (Somalia / Malawi / Europe, CIS)
- Need for a simultaneous devolution of political, administrative and fiscal power: "big bag approach" (India)
- Need for a strong political and bureaucratic will from central government (Nepal / Latin America)

**Articulating a strong national strategy and the diversity of local contexts**

- Need to harmonize national and local budget cycles (Slovak Rep)
- Need to harmonize financial management from central to local level (West and Central Africa)
- Need for clarification of the relationship between local budgets and national priorities (Philippines)
- Need for clarification of local/national functions to avoid overlapping activities (Turkmenistan / Philippines)

**Adapting strategies to the diversities of local situations**

- Need to recognize the diversity of responsibilities that municipalities can undertake, according to their profile (Panama)
- Need for objective criteria of allocation to avoid the widening gap between poor and wealthy municipalities (Europe, CIS)
- Need for a shift from "best practise" to "best fit" (Latin America)
- Need for a larger transfer of resources from central to local governments (Somalia / Latin America / Philippines / Benin)
- Need to ensure that decentralisation is considered as a reform coming from the central government (Nicaragua)

**Improving the articulation between local projects and national strategies**

- Need to harmonize national and local budget cycles (Slovak Rep)
- Need for more interministerial coordination mechanisms, more links between decentralisation and other sector reform programs (Somalia / Malawi / Europe, CIS)
- Need for a strong political and bureaucratic will from central government (Nepal / Latin America)

**Understanding the political stakes of decentralisation processes**

- Local government often dominated by political parties (Nepal)
- Need for a clear national leadership of the decentralisation process, a "policy champion" (Macedonia / Armenia)
- Need for more interministerial coordination mechanisms, more links between decentralisation and other sector reform programs (Somalia / Malawi / Europe, CIS)
- Need for a strong political and bureaucratic will from central government (Nepal / Latin America)

- Need to recognize the diversity of responsibilities that municipalities can undertake, according to their profile (Panama)
- Need for objective criteria of allocation to avoid the widening gap between poor and wealthy municipalities (Europe, CIS)
- Need for a shift from "best practise" to "best fit" (Latin America)
2 - Developing a strong national strategy and adapting it to diverse local contexts

There is an inherent and necessary tension between local and national scales of governance: a tension between unity and diversity. Indeed, one of the main challenges evoked by the contributors concerning decentralisation processes is the necessity to conciliate: The existence of a strong national strategy, able to ensure the coherence of the decentralisation process / The adaptation of this strategy to the diversity of local contexts

- Supporting the existence of a strong national strategy of decentralisation

The lack of co-ordination between different dimensions of decentralisation (institutional, fiscal etc.) and the weakness of inter-ministerial mechanisms in that field are the symptoms of the relative absence of a strong national strategy on decentralisation in many countries. External donors thus have to support the necessity of a “big bag approach”, and the identification of a clear “decentralisation champion” among the government (Designated ministry for instance), able to assume the leadership of the integrated process.

- Improving the articulation between local projects and national strategies

The necessity of coherence of decentralisation strategies also implies the harmonisation of local and national budget cycles, and of the methods of financial management. More generally, there is a need, expressed by most of the participants, for enhanced efforts to make local orientations correspond more closely to national strategies. This need goes in line with the necessity of clarification of the functions of each level of power in order to avoid overlapping and competing activities.

- Adapting strategies to the diversity of local situations

This strong national strategy has to be implemented through flexible and adaptable tools. There is not only one model of local governance and instead of imposing rigid processes at the local level, governments and donors should progressively evolve from “best practise” to “best fit”. This necessary adaptation was justified in two ways by the contributors:

- There is a need to recognise the diversity of profiles, resources and competencies among municipalities and local institutions, and, as a consequence, the diversity of responsibilities that these actors can undertake. The idea of a typology of these local actors, evoked by several contributors, is a first response to that challenge.

- A good knowledge of the complexity of ethnic and political contexts at the local level is necessary to prevent decentralisation from restarting local tensions

- Understanding the political stakes of decentralisation processes

As it was reminded by many contributions, decentralisation is largely a political process, and a way for the political opposition to confront the dominant party at the local level. There is thus a great resistance from many central governments to “give up” some power to local governments, as it can be considered as a loss of political control at the local level. This resistance is especially strong in countries with a strong tradition of centralism. More generally, there is a need to be careful of the potential political instrumentalisation of decentralisation processes by local actors, and the political interference concerning notably tax implementation.
3 - Building capacities of local actors through knowledge and information sharing

Creating tools of information and evaluation for the populations
- Use of digital tools to ride over physical distances between local self-government and remote populations (Russian Federation)
- Use of website to provide access for all population to updated information on local government activities (Russian Federation / Armenia)

Need for tools for public dissemination of new local legislation when enacted (Gyana / Armenia)
- Need for tools to evaluate performance of decentralized public administration (or “People’s audit”) (Latin America / Asia Pacific / Uganda / Thailand)

A community of practise needs to include a mix of thinkers and practitioners (Arab Region)
- Experience of inter-communality gathering to exchange experiences and define common strategies (Burundi, LAC, Western Balkans)

Need for gender sensitisation training in public sector organisations (Sudan)
- Need for civic education programs to raise awareness on decentralisation (Malawi)

Need for locally owned and financed civil service training institutions (Sudan)
- Need for training on relationship between local representatives and traditional chiefs (Lesotho)

Taking advantage of distance learning programs (web, radio etc.) (South and East Africa)
- Need for modern tools to monitor public services and evaluate corruption (Malawi / Asia Pacific)

Need for tools to evaluate performance of decentralized public administration (or “People’s audit”) (Latin America / Asia Pacific / Uganda / Thailand)
- Need for training on relationship between local representatives and traditional chiefs (Lesotho)

Awards Programs of best practises are good incentives for innovations (Philippines)
- Experience of inter-communality gathering to exchange experiences and define common strategies (Burundi, LAC, Western Balkans)

Need for comparative studies to learn from experiences abroad (China)
- Need for international expertise to help governments legislate on decentralisation (Turkmenistan)

Defining the role of external expertise
- Need for external consultancy to conduct fiscal surveys (West and Central Africa)
- Need for multi-disciplinary teams of advisors (Zambia / Bosnia and Herz.)

English language deficiency as an strong obstacle to information sharing and networking (Thailand)
- Need for mechanisms to upscale project achievements and transfer lessons learnt to central level (Guinea-Bissau)

Need for gender sensitisation training in public sector organisations (Sudan)
- Need for locally owned and financed civil service training institutions (Sudan)

Taking advantage of distance learning programs (web, radio etc.) (South and East Africa)
- Need for modern tools to monitor public services and evaluate corruption (Malawi / Asia Pacific)

Need for tools for public dissemination of new local legislation when enacted (Gyana / Armenia)
- Need for tools to evaluate performance of decentralized public administration (or “People’s audit”) (Latin America / Asia Pacific / Uganda / Thailand)

A community of practise needs to include a mix of thinkers and practitioners (Arab Region)
- Experience of inter-communality gathering to exchange experiences and define common strategies (Burundi, LAC, Western Balkans)

Need for comparative studies to learn from experiences abroad (China)
- Need for international expertise to help governments legislate on decentralisation (Turkmenistan)

Defining the role of external expertise
- Need for external consultancy to conduct fiscal surveys (West and Central Africa)
- Need for multi-disciplinary teams of advisors (Zambia / Bosnia and Herz.)
3 – Building capacities of local actors through knowledge and information sharing

One of the main challenges underlined by the participants concerns the capacities of local and national actors to undertake and manage decentralisation processes. A lack of information and specific training indeed seems to be one common assessment in that field. Information and knowledge sharing concerns, on the one hand, the training of the professionals to better manage the process, and on the other hand the raising of awareness of local populations in order to give them the tools to participate and evaluate local policies. It also raises the question of the role of external expertise, as a necessary, but ambiguous tool for local actors.

- A community of practises among professionals

A community of practices is necessary to improve evaluation and innovation in the field of local governance. It has to include both a sharing of experiences from different municipalities, regions, or even countries, as well as the promotion of local academic expertise to frame this experimental approach. In that perspective, a partnership with national universities and research centres has been successfully experienced in many countries. Award programs have also been a good incentive for local actors to innovate on their practises.

- Promoting relevant training processes

This sharing of experiences goes hand in hand with the promotion of a more comprehensive training system for professionals. This training has to concern both technical aspects (fiscal management, institutional expertise etc.) and more social ones (two main types of training were evoked in that field: sensitisation on the role of women in the local arena, and training on the relationship between local representatives and traditional chiefs.) This training has to be conceived on the national level but still be adapted to diverse local contexts. The need for locally owned and financed training institutions also seems to be an issue raised by several contributors.

- Creating tools of information and evaluation for the populations

Local populations also have to be “trained” to interact with local representatives in order to be able to control and participate in local public policies. Civic education programs are a first way to raise awareness among local populations on the emerging issues of local governance. Another way of involving these populations is the promotion of digital tools to disseminate information on the activities of local governments. Such tools give a better opportunity for local populations to evaluate these activities. They are also especially relevant to reach remote parts of the population that get very few contacts with local governments. More developed guides are also necessary to help people evaluate both their own needs (needs assessments), and the activities of public administration (notably “people’s audit”).

- Defining the role of external expertise

Finally, international expertise is also necessary at the local and the national level to facilitate the planning process, help conduct fiscal surveys, and support the emergence of a new legislation on decentralisation. However, this external expertise also represents the risk of undermining the development of local technical capacities by replacing them on the short term. There is thus a need to conceive external expertise in parallel with the promotion of the local capacities in order to avoid a long term dependence in that field.
4 - Building human and financial capacities of local governance

Building local capacities to raise revenues

- Need to support local resource mobilization (Benin / S and E Africa / Tand T / LAC / Côte d’Ivoire / Fiji)
- Local governments are not fully utilizing available local revenue opportunities (Philippines)
- Locally owned revenues are important to force accountability between citizens and elected State (Comoros)
- Danger of political interferences at the local level, notably on tax implementation (Uganda)
- Need to guarantee financial independance of local self-government bodies (Russian Federation)

Building human and financial capacities of local governance

- Capacity building interventions are often seen as “one-shot” and project-driven: need for long-term perspectives (Philippines)
- Local staff still often reports to line departments with little control of elected authority over them (India)
- Difficult process of reconversion of retrenched staff, after territorial reforms (West and Central Africa)

Improving channels of funding towards local governments

- Need for a larger transfer of resources from central to local governments (Somalia / Latin America/Philippines / Benin)
- Need for objective criteria of allocation to avoid the widening gap between poor and wealthy municipalities (Europe, CIS, Slovak rep)
- Need for a larger transfer of resources from central to local governments (Somalia / Latin America/Philippines / Benin)
- Need to harmonize financial management from central to local level (West and Central Africa)
- External donors should focus more on capacity building processes that on specific project outputs (Djibouti)

Improving human capacities to manage local governance

- The bulk of donors' resources remains controlled by central administrations and does not leave the capitals (West and Central Africa)
- Need for a mechanism of pooled funding from the different donors (West and Central Africa)
- Need for objective criteria of allocation to avoid the widening gap between poor and wealthy municipalities (Europe, CIS, Slovak rep)
- Need to harmonize financial management from central to local level (West and Central Africa)
- External donors should focus more on capacity building processes that on specific project outputs (Djibouti)

- Low pay of local officials leads to corruption (Malawi)
- Local governments need to have the authority to hire and fire their staffs (Nepal)
- Challenge of keeping well-trained local government staff: incentives, career arrangements etc (Tanzania / Malawi / Europe, CIS)
4 - Building human and financial capacities of local governance

Nearly all of the contributions of this Forum insisted strongly on the weakness of financial and human resources as the main cause of the difficulties encountered through the decentralisation processes. They all converge on the necessity of supporting more deeply the process of local resource mobilisation, but also the improvement of financial devolution from central to local governments. Identifying and retaining human resources at the local level is also a major challenge that needs to be addressed through the promotion of new forms of incentives for local staff, and a more independent approach of local authorities.

- Building the local capacities to raise revenues

The existence of local resources than can be mobilised by local governments depends largely on the situation of the countries, and the contributions of the Forum did not reach any consensus on this point. However, they all acknowledged the strong necessity to base local governance partly on local revenues, in order to strengthen the independence of local governments, as well as to improve their accountability towards citizens. Few concrete experiences of tax implementation were reported during the Forum. This specific exchange of experiences could be very beneficial for local actors to find innovative ways of addressing local revenue opportunities.

- Improving the channels for funding local governments

This local mobilisation of resources has to be better articulated with a more integrated and long-term transfer of funds from central government and international donors. The tradition of centralism of many governments has left very little space for a real form of financial devolution accompanying the institutional reform of decentralisation. Moreover, the bulk of donor’s resources often remains controlled by central administrations that can sometimes prevent them from going out of the capital. On the side of external donors, the priority seems to be the funding of long-term capacity-building projects rather than short development projects. Finally, the issue of ensuring equity in the allocation of resources was largely raised, in parallel with a concern on the establishment of objective criteria of allocation that would address the widening gap between poor and rich municipalities.

- Improving the human capacities to manage local governance

The financial dimension of decentralisation is also at stake concerning the training and the identification of incentive to keep local staff. Low pay and little incentive can indeed increase corruption at the local level but also foster local staff to leave for a higher level of public administration. Career arrangements and financial incentives are the best means to counter this dynamic. The issue of reconverting staff, notably after territorial reforms, is also a complex one that needs to be addressed in terms of innovative training and financial incentives.
5 - Enhancing effective participation of local populations

Participation of Civil Society Organisations as a necessary regulatory element

- Need to support the establishment of CSOs umbrella bodies to foster advocacy programs (Sudan)
- Need for a regulatory framework for CSOs (Sudan)
- Civil society can fear elected bodies competing with them in the sharing of a limited local space (India)
- Difficult control on local governments by CSOs when the traditional types of regulations are strong (PICs)
- Need to acknowledge the existing control of traditional powers on land and local resources (PICs)
- Need to harmonize traditional systems with formal local government systems (PICs)

Support of the CSOs as watchdogs over the performance of public functions (Panama / Malawi / Nepal)

- CSO participation as a way to minimize risks from political pressure (S and E Africa)
- Need for partnership with the media to make local governments more accountable (Nepal)

Importance of Civil society participation in contexts where public structures are weakened by political instability (Guinea-Bissau)

- Need for manuals to guide the implementation of participatory budgeting (E and S Africa)
- Need to articulate formal regulations with local traditions (Comoros)
- Need to establish systematic collaboration mechanisms between local public and traditional authorities (Guinea-Bissau)

Promote women's representation through the support of their local networks (China)

- Is quota a real solution for representation of women at the local level? (India / Malawi)
- Grass-root participatory structures (village committees etc.) enhance ownership of local projects (Malawi / Pakistan)

There is no positive correlation between greater proximity and greater participation of women (Latin-America)

- Necessary participation of children in local governance (ex. Youth Council in Philippines)
- Need to secure constitutional right of the citizen to participate (Russian Federation)
- Need for partnership with the media to make local governments more accountable (Nepal)
- Need to support the establishment of CSOs umbrella bodies to foster advocacy programs (Sudan)

Enhancing participation of all categories of population

- Community specific communication methods used by NGOs to create awareness amongst women (India)
- Need for guides to help local population in the needs assessment processes (Nepal)
- Need for manuals to guide the implementation of participatory budgeting (E and S Africa)
- Need guides to help local population in the needs assessment processes (Russian Federation / Nepal)

Danger of a captation of the resources and the voices of the poor by local elites (Pakistan)

- Dangerous of having a participation space "created" by central government rather than "claimed" by local populations (Caribbean, Kosovo, Pakistan)
- Lack of capacities of many stakeholders to participate meaningfully (Benin / Peru)

Danger of a captation of the resources and the voices of the poor by local elites (Pakistan)

- Need for manuals to guide the implementation of participatory budgeting (E and S Africa)
- Need for guides to help local population in the needs assessment processes (Nepal)
- Need for manuals to guide the implementation of participatory budgeting (E and S Africa)
- Need for a strong link between community mobilisation and public services organisation (Nepal)

Need to articulate formal regulations with local traditions (Comoros)

Need for a strong link between community mobilisation and public services organisation (Nepal)

Collaborating with traditional actors in public regulation

- Collaborating with traditional actors in public regulation
- Need to secure constitutional right of the citizen to participate (Russian Federation)
- Need for manuals to guide the implementation of participatory budgeting (E and S Africa)
- Need guides to help local population in the needs assessment processes (Russian Federation / Nepal)

Enhancing the participation of women and marginalized groups

- Need for manuals to guide the implementation of participatory budgeting (E and S Africa)
- Need for guides to help local population in the needs assessment processes (Nepal)
- Need for manuals to guide the implementation of participatory budgeting (E and S Africa)
- Need for a strong link between community mobilisation and public services organisation (Nepal)

Local bodies are the best interface with local communities, self-help groups, local CSOs etc. (India)

- Need to establish systematic collaboration mechanisms between local public and traditional authorities (Guinea-Bissau)
- Need to articulate formal regulations with local traditions (Comoros)
- Need to harmonize traditional systems with formal local government systems (PICs)
- Need to acknowledge the existing control of traditional powers on land and local resources (PICs)

Strengthening the capacities of local actors to participate and control public affairs

- Web-based interactive self-diagnostic tool can be a major input in preparing collectively the local budget (Philippines)
- Need for manuals to guide the implementation of participatory budgeting (E and S Africa)
- Need guides to help local population in the needs assessment processes (Russian Federation / Nepal)
- Need to articulate formal regulations with local traditions (Comoros)
5 - Enhancing effective participation of local populations

Participation of local populations obviously appears as one of the pre-requisites, but also one of the justifications of the decentralisation processes. Most contributions largely insisted on these two dimensions. They notably raised two questions around this issue:

- Why is participation necessary? What kind of risk is at stake when the voice and the needs of local population cannot be properly represented? How can CSO actions appear as a regulatory framework in that field?
- What types of populations need to be addressed in that perspective? The contributions have especially insisted on two specific actors: the role of women, and the role of traditional authorities in the local public sphere.

  - Strengthening the capacities of local actors to participate and control public affairs

There is a risk for “participation” to be an empty word if the space for dialogue between citizens and local representatives is implemented from the outside and not properly appropriated by local populations. This risk is also at stake when local populations do not have the proper means to participate, or the technical abilities to play a part in participatory budgeting for instance. There is thus a need for manuals and web-tools to help both the participation and the needs assessment of local populations.

The challenge of this participation is notably to avoid a form of instrumentalisation of the local space by local elites and a lack of accountability of local governments towards their citizens.

  - Participation of Civil Society Organisations as a necessary regulatory element

CSOs as well as local media can appear as watchdogs of this necessary accountability of local administration. CSO participation can notably be seen as a way to minimise risks from political pressure notably in contexts where public structures are weakened by political instability.

However, the development of CSOs largely depends on the political culture of the region and the regulatory framework implemented by central governments. UNDP programs thus need to keep supporting the development of CSOs as necessary mediators of the voice of the citizens. They should also promote the emergence of umbrella bodies for CSOs on order to strengthen their positions vis-à-vis public powers.

  - Enhancing the participation of women and marginalised groups

The greater proximity between the citizen and the State at the local level has not always been the guarantee of a better representation of women. Many contributions have indeed shed light on the difficulty of defining the right methods for ensuring the participation of women at the local level. The system of quotas can appear as a very superficial one if women do not have the knowledge and abilities to properly participate in the public sphere. New communication methods thus need to be implemented. The promotion of “women networks” can also be a good vector of representation and an innovative means to make their voice heard.

  - Collaborating with traditional actors in public regulation

The existence of traditional forms of regulation can undermine the legitimacy of formal institutions and compete with the authority of local representatives. The establishment of a close dialogue with traditional chiefs, and their inclusion in the participation process are the pre-requisites to better harmonise traditional and more modern systems of regulation. This is especially true concerning the management of land and local resources on which traditional authorities often keep a strong control.